

# Computing generalized inverses using LU factorization of matrix product

Predrag S. Stanimirović, Milan B. Tasić\*

*University of Niš, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,*

*Višegradska 33, 18000 Niš, Serbia*

*E-mail: pecko@pmf.ni.ac.yu, milan12t@ptt.yu*

## Abstract

An algorithm for computing  $\{2, 3\}$ ,  $\{2, 4\}$ ,  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $\{1, 2, 4\}$ -inverses and the Moore-Penrose inverse of a given rational matrix  $A$  is established. Classes  $A\{2, 3\}_s$  and  $A\{2, 4\}_s$  are characterized in terms of matrix products  $(R^*A)^\dagger R^*$  and  $T^*(AT^*)^\dagger$ , where  $R$  and  $T$  are rational matrices with appropriate dimensions and corresponding rank. The proposed algorithm is based on these general representations and the Cholesky factorization of symmetric positive matrices. The algorithm is implemented in programming languages MATHEMATICA and DELPHI and illustrated via examples. Numerical results of the algorithm, corresponding to the Moore-Penrose inverse, are compared with corresponding results obtained by several known methods for computing the Moore-Penrose inverse.

AMS Subj. Class.: 15A09, 68Q40.

Key words: Cholesky factorizations, Generalized inverses, Moore-Penrose inverse, MATHEMATICA, DELPHI.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be the set of complex numbers,  $\mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$  be the set of  $m \times n$  complex matrices, and  $\mathbf{C}_r^{m \times n}$  is a subset of  $\mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$  consisting matrices of rank  $r$ :  $\mathbf{C}_r^{m \times n} = \{X \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n} \mid \text{rank}(X) = r\}$ . As usual,  $\mathbf{C}(x)$  denotes the set of rational functions with complex coefficients in the variable  $x$ . The set of  $m \times n$  matrices with elements belonging to  $\mathbf{C}(x)$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{C}(x)^{m \times n}$ . By  $I_r$  and  $I$  we denote the identity matrix of the order  $r$ , and identity matrix of an appropriate order, respectively. By  $\mathbf{O}$  is denoted an appropriate null matrix.

For any matrix  $A$  of the order  $m \times n$  consider the following matrix equations in  $X$ , where  $*$  denotes conjugate and transpose:

$$(1) \quad AXA = A \quad (2) \quad XAX = X \quad (3) \quad (AX)^* = AX \quad (4) \quad (XA)^* = XA.$$

---

\*Corresponding author

In the case  $m = n$  we also consider equations

$$(5) \quad AX = XA \quad (1^k) \quad A^{k+1}X = A^k.$$

For a sequence  $\mathcal{S}$  of elements from the set  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 1^k\}$ , the set of matrices obeying the equations with corresponding indicative numbers contained in  $\mathcal{S}$  is denoted by  $A\{\mathcal{S}\}$ . A matrix from  $A\{\mathcal{S}\}$  is called an  $\mathcal{S}$ -inverse of  $A$ . The matrix  $X = A^\dagger$  is said to be the Moore-Penrose inverse of  $A$  satisfies equations (1)–(4). The group inverse  $A^\#$  is the unique  $\{1, 2, 5\}$  inverse of  $A$ , and exists if and only if  $\text{ind}(A) = \min_k \{k : \text{rank}(A^{k+1}) = \text{rank}(A^k)\} = 1$ . A matrix  $X = A^D$  is said to be the Drazin inverse of  $A$  if  $(1^k)$  (for some positive integer  $k$ ), (2) and (5) are satisfied. In the case  $\text{ind}(A) = 1$ , the Drazin inverse of  $A$  is equal to the group inverse of  $A$ . If  $A$  is nonsingular, it is easily seen that  $\text{ind}(A) = 0$  and  $A^D = A^{-1}$ .

The rank of generalized inverse  $X$  is important, and it will be convenient to consider the subset  $A\{i, j, k\}_s$  of  $A\{i, j, k\}$ , consisting  $\{i, j, k\}$ -inverses of rank  $s$  (see [1]).

In the literature are known various methods for computing the Moore-Penrose inverse (see for example [1], [24]). The most commonly implemented method in programming languages is the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) method, that is implemented, for example, in the "pinv" function from Matlab, as well as in the standard MATHEMATICA function "PseudoInverse" [26]. This method is very accurate, but time consuming when the matrix is large. Other well-known methods are Greville's algorithm, the full rank QR factorization by Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization (GSO), and iterative methods of various orders [1]. A number of expansions of the Moore-Penrose inverse can also be used to develop direct methods [15], [21].

A class of direct methods for computing pseudoinverses is derived from the *full-rank factorization*  $A = PQ$  of  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$  of rank  $r$ , where  $P$  is  $m \times r$ ,  $Q$  is  $r \times n$ , and  $P, Q$  are both of rank  $r$ . These methods are investigated in many papers (see for example [1, 16, 21, 24]). After the full-rank factorization, we have the general representation of the Moore-Penrose inverse  $A^\dagger = Q^\dagger P^\dagger$ , where  $Q^\dagger = Q^*(QQ^*)^{-1}$ ,  $P^\dagger = (P^*P)^{-1}P^*$ . General representations for various classes of  $\{2\}$ -inverses and the Drazin inverse are obtained in [21].

Chen et al derived a deterministic iterative algorithm for computing the Moore-Penrose inverse and rank of matrix  $A \in \mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$  in [4]. This algorithm is called *successive matrix powering* and it is based on successive squaring of a composite matrix  $T = \begin{bmatrix} P & Q \\ \mathbf{O} & I \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $P = (I - \beta A^* A)$ ,  $Q = \beta A^*$  and  $\beta$  is a relaxation parameter. Wei established *successive squaring algorithm* to approximate the Drazin inverse in [25]. The Drazin inverse is expressed in the form of successive squaring of the composite matrix  $T = \begin{bmatrix} P & Q \\ \mathbf{O} & I \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $P = (I - \beta A^{k+1})$ ,  $Q = \beta A^k$ .

In the paper [5], Courrieu proposed an algorithm for fast computation of the Moore-Penrose inverse of real matrices, which is based on known reverse order law (eq. 3.2 from [15]), and on the full rank Cholesky factorization of possibly singular, symmetric positive matrices (Theorem 4 from [6]).

In the present paper we use the  $LU$ -factorization from [6]. An arbitrary matrix  $A$  has an  $LU$ -factorization if it can be expressed as the product  $A = LU$  of a lower-triangular matrix  $L$  and an upper triangular matrix  $U$ . When it is possible, we say that  $A$  has an  $LU$ -decomposition. It turns out that this factorization (when exists) is not unique. If  $L$  has 1's on its main diagonal, then it is called a *Doolittle factorization*. If  $U$  has 1's on its main diagonal, then it is called a *Crout factorization*. When  $L = U^*$ , it is called the *Cholesky decomposition*. In each of these cases, the following is valid:

$$A^\dagger = U^\dagger L^\dagger = U^*(UU^*)^{-1}(L^*L)^{-1}L^*.$$

An implementation of the Cholesky factorizations in MATHEMATICA can be found on the web site

[http : //math.fullerton.edu/mathews/n2003/CholeskyBib.html](http://math.fullerton.edu/mathews/n2003/CholeskyBib.html).

This paper is a generalization of the paper [5] to sets of  $\{2, 3\}$ ,  $\{2, 4\}$ -inverses and to the set of rational matrices.

Many numerical algorithms for computing the Moore-Penrose inverse lack numerical stability. Also, when rounding error is present, we have to identify some small quantity as being zero. Moreover, it is well-known that the Moore-Penrose inverse is not necessarily a continuous function of the elements of the matrix. The existence of this discontinuity is an additional problem in the pseudoinverse computation. It is clear that cumulative round off errors should be totally eliminated. This is possible only by symbolic computation. During the symbolic implementation, variables are stored in the "exact" form or can be left "unassigned" (without numerical values), resulting in no loss of accuracy during the calculation [10].

Algorithms for computing generalized inverses of polynomial and/or rational matrices are so far based upon the Leverrier-Faddeev algorithm and the Greville's algorithm. Computation of the Moore-Penrose inverse of polynomial and/or rational matrices which uses the Leverrier-Faddeev algorithm is investigated in [7, 9, 10, 11, 23]. An algorithm of the Leverrier-Faddeev type for computing the Moore-Penrose inverse of a polynomial matrix is introduced in the paper [10]. Implementation of this algorithm, in the symbolic computational language MAPLE, is described in [9]. Furthermore, in [9] it is described an implementation of the algorithm for computing the Moore-Penrose inverse of a singular rational matrix.

A representation and corresponding algorithm for computing the Drazin inverse of a singular one-variable polynomial matrix of arbitrary degree are introduced in [8], [18]. Corresponding algorithm for two-variable polynomial

matrix and its implementation is introduced in [2]. Also, an effective version of given algorithm is established in the paper [2].

A general finite algorithm for computing various classes of generalized inverses of a polynomial matrix is introduced in [20]. This algorithm is based on the Leverrier-Faddeev algorithm.

Computation of the Moore-Penrose inverse of one-variable polynomial and/or rational matrices, arising from the Greville's algorithm, is introduced in [17]. Corresponding two-dimensional case is investigated in [22].

The Moore-Penrose inverse is used in the evaluation of the least square solution of linear system  $Ax = b$ , even with rank deficient matrices [1]. In fact, the Moore-Penrose inverse  $A^\dagger$  is defined as that matrix which, when postmultiplied by  $b$ , yields the minimum-length least-square solution  $x$  of the possibly inconsistent equation  $Ax \approx b$ , for any  $b$ . Also, the Moore-Penrose inverse can have valuable applications in neurocomputational learning procedures [5]. Moreover, in the literature it is known a number of applications of generalized inverses of polynomial matrices [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14].

This paper is a first attempt to compute  $\{i, j, k\}$  generalized inverses of one-variable rational matrices using the method from [5].

In the second section we characterize classes  $A\{2, 3\}_s$ ,  $A\{2, 4\}_s$ ,  $A\{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $A\{1, 2, 4\}$  in terms of matrix products  $(R^*A)^\dagger R^*$  and  $T^*(AT^*)^\dagger$ , where  $R$  and  $T$  are rational matrices with appropriate dimensions and corresponding rank. Using these representations, we introduce a method for computing  $\{i, j, k\}$ -inverses of prescribed rank  $s$  of a given rational matrix  $A$ . When  $A$  is a constant matrix, in two partial cases ( $R = A$  or  $T = A$ ), we get an algorithm for computing the Moore-Penrose inverse, alternative with corresponding one introduced in [5].

Algorithm introduced in this paper is implemented in programming package MATHEMATICA, and it is applicable to rational and constant matrices. Corresponding algorithm, applicable only to constant matrices, is also implemented in the programming language DELPHI. Symbolic implementation in MATHEMATICA is illustrated via examples in Section 3. We especially consider the partial case of the implementation, which computes the Moore-Penrose inverse of a constant matrix. This partial case of the implementation is compared with several known methods for computing the Moore-Penrose inverse.

## 2 Representations of $\{i, j, k\}$ inverses for rational matrices

In the following lemma we modify known representations for  $\{2, 3\}$ ,  $\{2, 4\}$ -inverses of prescribed rank, introduced in [1]. We also extend these representations, known for complex matrices, to the set of one-variable rational matrices.

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $A \in \mathbf{C}(x)_r^{m \times n}$  and  $0 < s \leq r$ ,  $m_1, n_1 \geq s$  be chosen integers. Then the following general representations for pseudoinverses are valid:*

- (a)  $A\{2, 4\}_s = \{(YA)^\dagger Y \mid Y \in \mathbf{C}(x)^{n_1 \times m}, YA \in \mathbf{C}(x)_s^{n_1 \times n}\}.$   
 (b)  $A\{2, 3\}_s = \{Z(AZ)^\dagger \mid Z \in \mathbf{C}(x)^{n \times m_1}, AZ \in \mathbf{C}(x)_s^{m \times m_1}\}.$

*Proof.* (a) The inclusion  $A\{2, 4\}_s \supseteq \{(YA)^\dagger Y \mid Y \in \mathbf{C}(x)^{n_1 \times m}, YA \in \mathbf{C}(x)_s^{n_1 \times n}\}$  can be proved in a similar way as in [1].

To prove the opposite inclusion, choose an arbitrary  $X \in A\{2, 4\}_s$ . Consider a full-rank factorization  $X = FG$ ,  $F \in \mathbf{C}(x)_s^{n \times s}$ ,  $G \in \mathbf{C}(x)_s^{s \times m}$ . Since  $X \in A\{2\}$ , we get

$$FGAFG = FG$$

or

$$F(GAF - I_s)G = \mathbf{O}.$$

This implies

$$GAF = I_s.$$

Now, it is not difficult to verify  $F \in (GA)\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , or equivalently  $F = (GA)^\dagger$ . Consequently,

$$X = (GA)^\dagger G \in \{(YA)^\dagger Y \mid Y \in \mathbf{C}(x)^{s \times m}, YA \in \mathbf{C}(x)_s^{s \times n}\}.$$

Using

$$\{(YA)^\dagger Y \mid Y \in \mathbf{C}(x)^{s \times m}, YA \in \mathbf{C}(x)_s^{s \times n}\} \subseteq \{(YA)^\dagger Y \mid Y \in \mathbf{C}(x)^{n_1 \times m}, YA \in \mathbf{C}(x)_s^{n_1 \times n}\}$$

we prove part (a).

Part (b) can be verified in a similar way.  $\square$

**Remark 2.1** In the case  $m_1 = n_1 = s$ , in the case of constant matrices, we get an improvement in the proof of Theorem 6 and Theorem 7 from [1] (p. 63).

Analogous representations of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\{1, 2, 4\}$ -inverses we derive in the case  $s = r = \text{rank}(A)$ .

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $A \in \mathbf{C}(x)_r^{m \times n}$  and  $m_1, n_1 \geq r$  be chosen integers. Then the following statements are valid for the sets  $A\{1, 2, 4\}$ ,  $A\{1, 2, 3\}$  and the Moore-Penrose inverse:

- (a)  $A\{1, 2, 4\} = \{(YA)^\dagger Y \mid Y \in \mathbf{C}(x)^{n_1 \times m}, YA \in \mathbf{C}(x)_r^{n_1 \times n}\}.$   
 (b)  $A\{1, 2, 3\} = \{Z(AZ)^\dagger \mid Z \in \mathbf{C}(x)^{n \times m_1}, AZ \in \mathbf{C}(x)_r^{m \times m_1}\}.$   
 (c)  $A^\dagger = (A^*A)^\dagger A^* = A^*(AA^*)^\dagger.$

Now we are in a position to propose the next theorem for computing  $\{2, 3\}$ ,  $\{2, 4\}$  inverses of prescribed rank as well as  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\{1, 2, 4\}$  inverses of a given matrix  $A \in \mathbf{C}(x)_r^{m \times n}$ . This theorem is a customization of Lemma 2.1 to generalized LU factorization from [5] and [6].

**Theorem 2.1** Consider rational matrix  $A \in \mathbf{C}(x)_r^{m \times n}$ . Let  $0 < s \leq r$  be randomly chosen integer and assume that  $m_1, n_1$  are positive integers satisfying  $m_1, n_1 \geq s$ . Then the following statements are valid:

(a)

$$A\{2, 4\}_s = \{L(L^*L)^{-2}L^*(R^*A)^*R^* \mid R \in \mathbf{C}(x)_s^{m \times n_1}, R^*A \in \mathbf{C}(x)_s^{n_1 \times n}\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $(R^*A)^*(R^*A) = LL^*$  is the Cholesky factorization and  $L^*$  is without the zero rows.

(b)

$$A\{2, 3\}_s = \{T^*(AT^*)^*L(L^*L)^{-2}L^* \mid T \in \mathbf{C}(x)_s^{m_1 \times n}, AT^* \in \mathbf{C}(x)_s^{m \times m_1}\}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $(AT^*)(AT^*)^* = LL^*$  is the Cholesky factorization and  $L^*$  is without the zero rows.

(c)

$$A\{1, 2, 4\} = \{L(L^*L)^{-2}L^*(R^*A)^*R^* \mid R \in \mathbf{C}(x)_r^{m \times n_1}, R^*A \in \mathbf{C}(x)_r^{n_1 \times n}\}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $(R^*A)^*(R^*A) = LL^*$  is the Cholesky factorization and  $L^*$  is without the zero rows.

(d)

$$A\{1, 2, 3\} = \{T^*(AT^*)^*L(L^*L)^{-2}L^* \mid T \in \mathbf{C}(x)_r^{m_1 \times n}, AT^* \in \mathbf{C}(x)_r^{m \times m_1}\}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $(AT^*)(AT^*)^* = LL^*$  is the Cholesky factorization and  $L^*$  is without the zero rows.

(e)

$$A^\dagger = L(L^*L)^{-2}L^*(A^*A)^*A^*, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $(A^*A)^*(A^*A) = LL^*$  is the Cholesky factorization and  $L^*$  is without the zero rows, or

$$A^\dagger = A^*(AA^*)^*L(L^*L)^{-2}L^*, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $(AA^*)(AA^*)^* = LL^*$  is the Cholesky factorization and  $L^*$  is without the zero rows.

*Proof.* (a) Various expressions for computing the Moore-Penrose inverse of the matrix product  $(AB)^\dagger$  are considered in [15]. We use the following:

$$(AB)^\dagger = B^*(A^*ABB^*)^\dagger A^*. \quad (2.7)$$

Applying (2.7) in the case  $A = R^*A$ ,  $B = I$ , the Moore-Penrose inverse  $(R^*A)^\dagger$  can be found as

$$(R^*A)^\dagger = ((R^*A)^*(R^*A))^\dagger (R^*A)^*. \quad (2.8)$$

There is an unique upper triangular matrix  $S$  with exactly  $n - s$  zero rows, such that  $S^*S = (R^*A)^*(R^*A)$ , where the computation of  $S$  is an application

of the extension of the usual Cholesky factorization from [5], [6] on matrix  $(R^*A)^*(R^*A)$ . Removing the zero rows from  $S$ , one obtains an  $r \times n$  matrix of rank  $r$ , denoted by  $L^*$ . The following is evident:

$$(R^*A)^*(R^*A) = S^*S = LL^*. \quad (2.9)$$

Applying (2.9) in (2.8), we get

$$(R^*A)^\dagger = (LL^*)^\dagger (R^*A)^*. \quad (2.10)$$

Applying now (2.7) in the case  $A = L$ ,  $B = L^*$ , one can verify the following

$$(LL^*)^\dagger = L(L^*L)^{-1}(L^*L)^{-1}L^*. \quad (2.11)$$

Multiplying  $(R^*A)^\dagger$  by  $R^*$  from the right, in view of (2.10) and (2.11) we obtain

$$(R^*A)^\dagger R^* = L(L^*L)^{-2}L^*(R^*A)^*R^*.$$

Now, the proof follows from Lemma 2.1, part (a).

(b) This part of theorem can be proved in a similar way as part (a), applying part (b) from Lemma 2.1 and  $A = I$ ,  $B = AT^*$ . Also, in this case  $m$  and  $m_1$  appears instead of  $n$  and  $n_1$ , respectively.

Parts (c), (d) and (e) can be proved applying Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

Using Theorem 2.1, we now state the following algorithm which generates classes  $A\{2, 4\}_s$  and  $A\{2, 3\}_s$ .

**Algorithm 2.1** Choose  $m \times n$  rational matrix  $A$  and consider randomly chosen  $m_1 \times n_1$  rational matrix  $R$ , where  $m_1 = m$  and  $n_1$  is arbitrary integer  $\geq r$ , or  $n_1 = n$  and  $m_1$  is arbitrary integer  $\geq r$ .

Step 1. If  $n = n_1$  then compute  $G := (AR^*)(AR^*)^*$  and set  $n = m$  and logical variable  $trans = True$ ;  
else compute  $G := (R^*A)^*(R^*A)$ .

Step 2. Find Cholesky factorization of matrix  $G = LL^*$  and drop zero rows from  $L^*$ .

Step 3. If  $trans$  then return  $R^*(AR^*)^*L(L^*L)^{-2}L^*$ ;  
else return  $L(L^*L)^{-2}L^*(R^*A)^*R^*$ .

This algorithm is applicable to class of rational matrices if we implement them in symbolic programming languages like MATHEMATICA, MAPLE etc. Our implementation is developed in MATHEMATICA. However, because of the problems with the simplification in rational expressions, this algorithm is not convenient for the implementation in high level programming languages such as C++, DELPHI, VISUAL BASIC etc. Therefore, our implementation in language DELPHI is applicable only for constant matrices.

### 3 Examples

**Example 3.1** In this example we consider constant matrices. Let  $A \in \mathbf{C}_4^{6 \times 4}$  and  $R \in \mathbf{C}_4^{6 \times 6}$  be the following matrices:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 3 & 0 & -1 \\ 10 & -1 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -3 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & 3 & 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & -3 & 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 3 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying the function `ModGinvCholesky[A,R]`, described in Appendix, we obtain

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 2\sqrt{627} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -92\sqrt{\frac{3}{209}} & 2\sqrt{\frac{6819}{209}} & 0 & 0 \\ 70\sqrt{\frac{3}{209}} & -2632\sqrt{\frac{3}{475057}} & 4\sqrt{\frac{1409}{2273}} & 0 \\ \frac{634}{\sqrt{627}} & \frac{-24758}{\sqrt{1425171}} & \frac{12778}{\sqrt{3202657}} & 10\sqrt{\frac{26}{1409}} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$A\{1, 2, 4\} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{10} & 0 & \frac{1}{10} & 0 & -\frac{1}{10} & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{13}{10} & -1 & \frac{3}{10} & -1 & -\frac{43}{10} & -1 \\ \frac{11}{10} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{10} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{21}{10} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us mention that conditions of Theorem 2.1, part (c) are valid.

**Example 3.2** Let us consider matrix  $A$  of rank 3:

$$A = \{\{x+1, x, 5\}, \{x+2, x, 3\}, \{x-1, x, 1\}, \{x+3, x, 2\}\}.$$

Choose the following matrix  $R$  of rank 2:

$$R = \{\{x+1, 2\}, \{x+1, 2\}, \{x+1, 3\}, \{x+1, 3\}\}.$$

In accordance with part (a) of Theorem 2.1, function `ModGinvCholesky[A,R]` generates the following  $\{2, 4\}$ -inverse of  $A$  of rank 2:

$$In[3] := \text{ModGinvCholesky}[A, R]$$

$$Out[3] = \left\{ \left\{ \frac{-21-30x+4x^2}{49+140x+204x^2}, \frac{-21-30x+4x^2}{49+140x+204x^2}, \frac{56+80x-4x^2}{49+140x+204x^2}, \frac{56+80x-4x^2}{49+140x+204x^2} \right\}, \right. \\ \left. \left\{ \frac{-2x(17+2x)}{49+140x+204x^2}, \frac{-2x(17+2x)}{49+140x+204x^2}, \frac{2x(43+2x)}{49+140x+204x^2}, \frac{2x(43+2x)}{49+140x+204x^2} \right\}, \right. \\ \left. \left\{ \frac{14+34x+40x^2}{49+140x+204x^2}, \frac{14+34x+40x^2}{49+140x+204x^2}, -\frac{21+44x+40x^2}{49+140x+204x^2}, -\frac{21+44x+40x^2}{49+140x+204x^2} \right\} \right\}$$

**Example 3.3** In this example we choose matrices  $A$  and  $T$  satisfying conditions imposed in part (d) of Theorem 2.1. Then an  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ -inverse is generated in the output:

$$In[4] := A = \{\{1+x, x, 5, 2+x, x, 3\}, \{-1+x, x, 1, 3+x, x, 2\}, \\ \{-2+x, x, 1, 3+x, x, 2\}, \{-3+x, -1+x, 1, 1+x, x, 1\}\}; \\ In[5] := T = \{\{1+x, 2, 2+x, 1, -1+x, 3\}, \{2+x, 3, 3+x, 1, -2+x, 2\}, \\ \{3+x, 3, 3+x, -1, -2+x, 1\}, \{2+x, 3, 3+x, 4, -1+x, 1\}, \\ \{2+x, 3, 3+x, -1, -1+x, 1\}\}; \\ In[6] := \text{ModGinvCholesky}[A, T]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Out[6] = & \{ \{0, 1, -1, 0\}, \{ \frac{5031-13465x+14101x^2-130x^3-975x^4}{30186-78744x+63024x^2+49340x^3+7800x^4}, \\
& \frac{-70434+89855x-4908x^2+18453x^3+6615x^4}{-75465+82542x+12803x^2+22101x^3+6180x^4}, \\
& \frac{30186-78744x+63024x^2+49340x^3+7800x^4}{-25155+20168x+11555x^2+4153x^3+540x^4}, \{ \frac{5031-11455x+7726x^2+5905x^3+975x^4}{30186-78744x+63024x^2+49340x^3+7800x^4}, \\
& \frac{-70434+90587x+28674x^2-3784x^3-1695x^4}{75465-85296x-45272x^2-4707x^3+540x^4}, \\
& \frac{30186-78744x+63024x^2+49340x^3+7800x^4}{-25155+22250x+19052x^2+4011x^3+180x^4} \}, \{ \frac{5031-15463x+15407x^2+8530x^3+975x^4}{30186-78744x+63024x^2+49340x^3+7800x^4}, \\
& \frac{-50310+38335x+81884x^2+21697x^3+735x^4}{75465-86214x-56095x^2+1091x^3+2780x^4}, \\
& \frac{30186-78744x+63024x^2+49340x^3+7800x^4}{-35217+49192x+543x^2-12483x^3-2540x^4}, \{ \frac{-12+3071x-13389x^2+4760x^3+1950x^4}{30186-78744x+63024x^2+49340x^3+7800x^4}, \\
& \frac{-96960+246044x+119747x^2-42630x^3-15150x^4}{106974-261537x-153182x^2+21230x^3+11200x^4}, \\
& \frac{30186-78744x+63024x^2+49340x^3+7800x^4}{-29838+61289x+78394x^2+22290x^3+2000x^4}, \{ \frac{5031-17461x+16713x^2+17190x^3+2925x^4}{30186-78744x+63024x^2+49340x^3+7800x^4}, \\
& \frac{-140868+87781x+221884x^2+111187x^3+15885x^4}{-166023+97482x+251041x^2+118599x^3+16220x^4}, \\
& \frac{30186-78744x+63024x^2+49340x^3+7800x^4}{-65403+39808x+75665x^2+28527x^3+3260x^4} \} \} \\
& \frac{-65403+39808x+75665x^2+28527x^3+3260x^4}{30186-78744x+63024x^2+49340x^3+7800x^4} \} \}
\end{aligned}$$

**Example 3.4** In this example we generate  $\{1, 2, 4\}$ -inverse using the following matrices  $A$  and  $R$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
A = & \{ \{x+1, x, 5\}, \{x+2, x, 3\}, \{x-1, x, 1\}, \{x+3, x, 2\}, \{x-2, x, 1\}, \{x+3, x, 2\} \}. \\
R = & \{ \{1+x, 2, 2+x, 1, -1+x\}, \{2+x, 3, 3+x, 1, -2+x\}, \{3+x, 3, 3+x, -1, -2+x\}, \\
& \{2+x, 3, 3+x, 4, -1+x\}, \{2+x, 3, 3+x, -1, -1+x\}, \{1+x, 2, 2+x, 1, -1+x\} \}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$In[9] := ModGinvCholesky[A, R]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Out[9] = & \{ \{ \frac{1596-2292x+2542x^2}{-41601+39942x-27634x^2}, \frac{-5593+2166x+4766x^2}{83202-79884x+55268x^2}, \frac{2310-5520x+1282x^2}{-41601+39942x-27634x^2}, \\
& \frac{13573-13626x+7944x^2}{10549-4878x+7922x^2}, \frac{1596-2292x+2542x^2}{-41601+39942x-27634x^2} \}, \\
& \{ \frac{41601-39942x+27634x^2}{-83202+79884x-55268x^2}, \frac{-41601+39942x-27634x^2}{83202-79884x+55268x^2}, \frac{60564-14028x+34011x^2+2564x^3}{83202-79884x+55268x^2}, \\
& \frac{23961-36414x+38983x^2-5084x^3}{4x(41601-39942x+27634x^2)}, \frac{-38171+3108x+76654x^2-9532x^3}{83202-79884x+55268x^2} \}, \\
& \{ \frac{74774-105294x+62993x^2-15888x^3}{83202-79884x+55268x^2}, \frac{29743-69888x-4194x^2+15844x^3}{166404x-159768x^2+110536x^3}, \frac{23961-36414x+38983x^2-5084x^3}{-83202+79884x+55268x^2}, \\
& \frac{16905-21399x+20869x^2}{-7(5446-5735x+2597x^2)}, \frac{-7(5446-5735x+2597x^2)}{4(41601-39942x+27634x^2)}, \frac{18228+3465x+14261x^2}{-83202+79884x+55268x^2}, \\
& \frac{-7(5446-5735x+2597x^2)}{83202-79884x+55268x^2}, \frac{8281+25270x+12302x^2}{166404-159768x+110536x^2}, \frac{16905-21399x+20869x^2}{83202-79884x+55268x^2} \} \}
\end{aligned}$$

**Example 3.5** In this example we choose matrices  $A$  and  $T$  satisfying conditions imposed in part (b) of Theorem 2.1. Then an  $\{2, 3\}$ -inverse of rank 2 is generated:

$$In[10] := A = \{ \{x+1, x, 5\}, \{x+2, x, 3\}, \{x-1, x, 1\}, \{x+3, x, 2\} \};$$

$$In[11] := T = \{ \{x+1, 2, x-1\}, \{x+2, 1, x-1\} \}$$

$$In[12] := ModGinvCholesky[A, T]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Out[12] = & \{ \{ \frac{41-139x+88x^2+25x^3+x^4}{329-1168x+984x^2+380x^3+35x^4}, \frac{30-99x+83x^2+31x^3+3x^4}{329-1168x+984x^2+380x^3+35x^4}, \\
& \frac{55-239x+222x^2+97x^3+9x^4}{329-1168x+984x^2+380x^3+35x^4}, \frac{85-290x+228x^2+82x^3+7x^4}{329-1168x+984x^2+380x^3+35x^4} \}, \{ \frac{-136+69x+207x^2+35x^3+x^4}{329-1168x+984x^2+380x^3+35x^4}, \\
& \frac{69-170x+40x^2+26x^3+3x^4}{329-1168x+984x^2+380x^3+35x^4}, \frac{-38+3x+373x^2+117x^3+9x^4}{329-1168x+984x^2+380x^3+35x^4}, \\
& \frac{59-148x+79x^2+10x^3}{329-1168x+984x^2+380x^3+35x^4}, \frac{13-41x+23x^2+5x^3}{329-1168x+984x^2+380x^3+35x^4}, \frac{31-122x+71x^2+20x^3}{329-1168x+984x^2+380x^3+35x^4}, \\
& \frac{-18(-1+x)^2}{329-1168x+984x^2+380x^3+35x^4} \} \}
\end{aligned}$$

We compare the processor time conditioned by different algorithms for computing the Moore-Penrose inverse of constant matrices in the next table. Test matrices are taken from [27], and considered in the partial case  $a = 1$ . The test matrix name we state in the first column. Processor times required by the standard MATHEMATICA function *PseudoInverse[]* (see [26]) are allocated in the

second column of the table. Results corresponding to function *Partitioning*[] from [19] are placed in the third column. Fourth column is filled by the results generated by using the Leverrier-Faddeev algorithm from [9]. Results produced by applying MATHEMATICA implementation of the algorithm from [5] are placed in the next column, and the last two columns are arranged for the MATHEMATICA and DELPHI implementation of Algorithm 2.1. We use  $R = A$  in MATHEMATICA functions *ModGinvCholesky*[] and DELPHI function *A1234* to compute the Moore-Penrose inverse. For matrix dimensions above  $20 \times 20$  an application of the function *ModGinvCholesky*[] gives the information: *"Result for Inverse of badly conditioned matrix << 1 >> may contain significant numerical errors"*! These cases are marked by the sign '\*' in the table. Also, the sign '-' denotes a long processor time needed for the computation.

| Test matrix | Math. PseudoInverse | Math. Partitioning | Math. Lev.Faddeev | Math. Courrieu | Math. Alg. 2.1 | Delphi Alg. 2.1 |
|-------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| S5          | 0.079               | 0.016              | 0.001             | 0.001          | 0.001          | 0.062           |
| S10         | 0.031               | 0.031              | 0.001             | 0.015          | 0.015          | 0.062           |
| S25         | -                   | 0.125              | 0.062             | 0.047          | 0.109 *        | 0.062           |
| S50         | -                   | 1.187              | 2.516             | 0.375          | 0.687 *        | 0.940           |
| S100        | -                   | 9.204              | 44.375            | 2.297          | 5.781 *        | 1.850           |
| F5          | 0.125               | 0.031              | 0.001             | 0.001          | 0.001          | 0.047           |
| F10         | 1.094               | 0.016              | 0.001             | 0.015          | 0.015          | 0.047           |
| F25         | -                   | 0.047              | 0.156             | 0.110          | 0.250 *        | 0.062           |
| F50         | -                   | 0.485              | 2.672             | 0.703          | 2.328 *        | 0.940           |
| F100        | -                   | 2.812              | 42.844            | 5.782          | 17.594 *       | 1.850           |
| A5          | 0.25                | 0.006              | 0.001             | 0.001          | 0.001          | 0.047           |
| A10         | 1.344               | 0.015              | 0.001             | 0.015          | 0.015          | 0.062           |
| A25         | -                   | 0.063              | 0.171             | 0.093          | 0.265 *        | 0.062           |
| A50         | -                   | 0.484              | 2.766             | 0.766          | 2.218 *        | 0.940           |
| A100        | -                   | 2.750              | 43.781            | 5.844          | 16.954 *       | 1.850           |

Table 1. Processor time in Seconds for constant matrices

## 4 Conclusion

We introduce an algorithm for computing  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $\{1, 2, 4\}$ -inverses,  $\{2, 3\}$ ,  $\{2, 4\}$ -inverses of prescribed rank as well as for computing the Moore-Penrose inverse for one-variable rational matrices. Our method uses the representations of  $\{i, j, k\}$ -inverses based on the matrix product involving the Moore-Penrose inverse and factors of the full-rank Cholesky factorization from [6]. On the other hand, a large number of representations and algorithms are available for computing generalized inverses of rational and/or polynomial matrices [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 17, 18, 20, 19, 22, 23, 2]. But, generalized inverses in these papers are computed using the Leverrier-Faddeev algorithm and the Greville's algorithm. The algorithm proposed in this paper is an extension of the paper [5] to various classes of  $\{i, j, k\}$ -inverses and to rational matrices. When the input matrix is constant, in a certain case  $R = A$ , we get an algorithm for computing the Moore-Penrose inverse, alternative with respect to the algorithm introduced in [5].

Introduced algorithm is implemented in two different programming languages: MATHEMATICA and DELPHI. The implementation in DELPHI is appropriate only for constant matrices. In the constant matrix case we compare processor time required by these implementations of Algorithm 2.1 with respect to standard MATHEMATICA function `Pseudoinverse`, implementation of Greville's partitioning method, implementation of Leverrier-Faddeev algorithm and the MATHEMATICA implementation of the algorithm from [5].

Column 2 is a confirmation of the statement that the method used in MATHEMATICA function `PseudoInverse` is time consuming for large matrices. The results from columns 3, 4, 5 and 6 in Table 1 again confirm known fact that MATHEMATICA (and other symbolic packages) is not applicable for large scale test problems. Our numerical experience shows that the algorithm introduced in [5] is superior with respect to the Greville's partitioning algorithm for test matrices of smaller dimensions. But, the algorithm from [5] is inferior with respect to partitioning method in the case when test matrices of relatively great order from [27] are used. Leverrier-Faddeev algorithm produces the best results for test matrices of small dimensions and the worst results for test matrices of greater dimensions.

Algorithm 2.1 produces inferior results with respect to algorithm from [5] for matrix dimensions greater than  $20 \times 20$ . The reason is clear. Algorithm from [5] computes the Moore-Penrose inverse using the Cholesky factorization of the matrix products  $A^*A$  or  $AA^*$ . On the other side, Algorithm 2.1 factorizes the matrix products  $(A^*A)^*(A^*A)$  or  $(AA^*)(AA^*)^*$ , which produce bigger numbers causing badly conditioned matrices. But, our method for computing the Moore-Penrose inverse arises from a general algorithm, which is limited by the application of symmetric positive matrices  $(R^*A)^*(R^*A)$  or  $(AT^*)(AT^*)^*$ .

## 5 APPENDIX

For the sake of completeness we present the MATHEMATICA and DELPHI code for the implementation of Algorithm 2.1.

### 5.1 Mathematica code

In the following function we implement the Cholesky factorization.

```
Cholesky[A0_,n_]:=Module[{A=A0,i,k,m,L,U},
  L=Table[0,{n},{n}];
  For[k=1,k<=n,k++,
    L[[k,k]]=Sqrt[A[[k,k]]-Sum[L[[k,m]]^2,{m,1,k-1}]];
    For[i=k+1,i<=n,i++,
      L[[i,k]]=(A[[i,k]]-Sum[L[[i,m]]L[[k,m]],{m,1,k-1}))/L[[k,k]]];
  U = Transpose[L];
  Return[L] ]
```

In the auxiliary function `Adop[a,j]` we drop the last  $n - j$  columns from the matrix  $a$ . This function is used for the elimination of last zero rows in the

matrix *Transpose*[*a*].

```
Adop[a_List,j_]:=Module[{m, n},
  {m,n}=Dimensions[a];
  Return[Transpose[Drop[Transpose[a],-(n-j)]]];]
```

Function *GinvCholesky*[*A*] implements the algorithm from [5]

```
GinvCholesky[A0_List]:=Module[{m,n,trans,A=A0,L,M,Y},
  {m,n}=Dimensions[A0]; trans=False;
  If[m<n, trans=True; A=A0.Transpose[A0]; n=m,
    A=Transpose[A0].A0];
  L=Cholesky[A,n]; L=Simplify[Adop[L,MatrixRank[A0]]];
  M=Inverse[Transpose[L].L];
  If[trans,Y=Transpose[A0].L.M.M.Transpose[L],
    Y=L.M.M.Transpose[L].Transpose[A0]];
  Return[Simplify[Y]]]
```

Function *ModGinvCholesky*[*A*,*R*] implements Algorithm 2.1.

```
ModGinvCholesky[A_List,R_List]:=
Module[{m,m1,n1,n,rr,trans=False,L,M,Y,G,G1},
  {m,n}=Dimensions[A]; {m1,n1}=Dimensions[R];
  If[n==n1,trans=True;
    G=Simplify[A.Transpose[R].Transpose[A.Transpose[R]]]; n=m,
    G=Simplify[Transpose[Transpose[R].A].Transpose[R].A]];
  L=Cholesky[G,n]; L=Adop[L,Min[MatrixRank[A],MatrixRank[R]]];
  M=Inverse[Transpose[L].L];
  If[trans,Y=Transpose[R].Transpose[A.Transpose[R]].L.M.M.Transpose[L],
    Y=L.M.M.Transpose[L].Transpose[Transpose[R].A].Transpose[R]];
  Return[Simplify[Y]]]
```

## 5.2 Delphi code

We present the main part of DELPHI code for computing  $A\{i,j,k\}$ -inverses of a given constant matrix *A*. Elementary functions used in computations are: function *TransMat*() which computes the transpose matrix, function *MatMatR*() for the matrix multiplication, function *MatrixRank*() for computing the matrix rank, the function which generates the matrix consisting of first *i* columns of a given matrix, called *FifstIColumns*(), and the function *InversionM*() used for the usual matrix inversion. These functions are not restated here.

Cholesky factorization is implemented in the following function.

```
procedure TForm1.Cholesky(A0:matrix;var C0:matrix;n:integer);
var i,j,p,q:integer;s:extended;s1:real;
begin
  For i:=1 to n do
    For j:=1 to n do C0[i,j]:=0;
  For p:=1 to n do
    begin
      s:=0;
      for q:=1 to p-1 do s:=s+C0[p,q]*C0[p,q]
      s1:=A0[p,p]-s;
      if s1<0.000000000001 then s1:=0;
      C0[p,p]:=Sqrt(s1);
```

```

    if C0[p,p]<>0 then
    begin
        for i:=p+1 to n do
        begin
            s:=0;
            for j:=1 to p-1 do s:=s+C0[i,j]*C0[p,j];
            C0[i,p]:=(A0[i,p]-s)/C0[p,p];
        end;
    end;
end;
end;
end;

```

Function A1234 implements Algorithm 2.1.

```

procedure TForm1.A1234(A1,R1:matrix;var L:matrix);
var trans:boolean; minrank:integer;
    Y1,L1,L2,G1,G2,G3,G4,G5,G6,G7,G8:matrix;
begin
    trans:=false;
    if n=nn then
    begin
        trans:=true;
        TransMat(R1,mm,nn,G1); MatMatR(A1,G1,m,n,mm,G2);
        TransMat(G2,m,mm,G3); MatMatR(G2,G3,m,mm,m,Y1);
        n:=m;
    end
    else begin
        TransMat(R1,mm,nn,G1); MatMatR(G1,A1,nn,m,n,G2);
        TransMat(G2,nn,n,G3); MatMatR(G3,G2,n,nn,n,Y1);
    end;
    Cholesky(Y1,L1,n);
    minrank:=MatrixRank(L1,n);
    firstIColumn(L1,minrank,Y1);
    TransMat(Y1,n,minrank,G1); MatMatR(G1,L1,minrank,n,n,G2);
    InverseM(G2,n,L2);
    if trans then
    begin
        TransMat(R1,mm,nn,G1); MatMatR(A1,G1,m,nn,m,G2);
        TransMat(G2,m,m,G3); MatMatR(G1,G3,nn,mm,m,G4);
        MatMatR(G4,L1,nn,m,n,G5); MatMatR(G5,L2,nn,n,n,G6);
        MatMatR(G6,L2,nn,n,n,G7); TransMat(L1,n,n,G8);
        MatMatR(G7,G8,nn,n,n,L);
        WriteY(L,nn,n);
    end
    else begin
        MatMatR(L1,L2,n,n,n,G1); MatMatR(G1,L2,n,n,n,G2);
        TransMat(L1,n,n,G3); MatMatR(G2,G3,n,n,n,G4);
        TransMat(R1,mm,nn,G5); MatMatR(G5,A1,nn,mm,n,G6);
        TransMat(G6,nn,n,G7); MatMatR(G7,G5,n,nn,mm,G8);
        MatMatR(G4,G8,n,n,mm,L);
        WriteY(L,n,mm);
    end;
end;
end;

```

## References

- [1] A. Ben-Israel and T.N.E. Grevile, *Generalized inverses, Theory and applications, Second edition*, Canadian Mathematical Society, Springer, New York, 2003.
- [2] F. Bu and Y. Wei, *The algorithm for computing the Drazin inverse of two-variable polynomial matrices*, Appl. Math. Comput. **147** (2004), 805–836.
- [3] S.L. Campbell and C.D. Meyer, *Generalized inverses of Linear Transformations*, Pitman, New York, 1979.
- [4] L. Chen, E.V. Krishnamurthy, I. Macleod, *Generalised matrix inversion and rank computation by successive matrix powering*, Parallel Computing **20** (1994) 297–311.
- [5] P. Courrieu, *Fast Computation of Moore-Penrose Inverse Matrices*, Neural Information Processing - Letters and Reviews, **8** No 2 (2005), 25–29.
- [6] P. Courrieu, *Straight monotonic embedding of data sets in Euclidean spaces*, Neural Network, **15** (2002), 1185–1196.
- [7] G. Fragulis, B.G. Mertzios and A.I.G. Vardoulakis, *Computation of the inverse of a polynomial matrix and evaluation of its Laurent expansion*, Int. J. Control, **53** (1991), 431–443.
- [8] J. Ji, *A finite algorithm for the Drazin inverse of a polynomial matrix*, Appl. Math. Comput., **30** (2002), 243–251.
- [9] J. Jones, N.P. Karampetakis and A.C. Pugh, *The computation and application of the generalized inverse via Maple*, J. Symbolic Computation **25** (1998), 99–124.
- [10] N.P. Karampetakis, *Computation of the generalized inverse of a polynomial matrix and applications*, Linear Algebra Appl. **252** (1997), 35–60.
- [11] N.P. Karampetakis, *Generalized inverses of two-variable polynomial matrices and applications* Circuits Systems Signal Processing, **16** (1997), 439–453.
- [12] V. Lovass Nagy, R. Miller and D. Powers, *Transfer function matrix synthesis by matrix generalized inverses*, Int. J. Control, **27** (1978) 387–391.
- [13] V. Lovass Nagy R. Miller and D. Powers, *Further results on output control in the servomechanism sence*, Int. J. Control, **27** (1978), 133–138.
- [14] V. Lovass Nagy, R. Miller and D. Powers, *An introduction to the application of the simplest matrix-generalized inverse in system science* IEEE Trans. Auto. Control, **25** (1978), 766–771.

- [15] M.A. Rakha, *On the Moore-Penrose generalized inverse matrix* Appl. Math. Comput., **158** (2004), 185–200.
- [16] C.R. Rao and S.K. Mitra, *Generalized Inverse of Matrices and its Applications*, John Wiley Sons, Inc, New York, London, Sydney, Toronto, 1971.
- [17] P.S. Stanimirović and M.B. Tasić, *Partitioning method for rational and polynomial matrices*, Appl. Math. Comput., **155** (2004), 137–163.
- [18] P.S. Stanimirovic and M.B. Tasić, *Drazin inverse of one-variable polynomial matrices*, Filomat, Niš, **15** (2001), 71–78.
- [19] P.S. Stanimirović and M.B. Tasić, *Partitioning method for rational and polynomial matrices*, Appl. Math. Comput., **155** (2004) 137–163.
- [20] P.S. Stanimirović, *A finite algorithm for generalized inverses of polynomial and rational matrices*, Appl. Math. Comput., **144** (2003), 199–214.
- [21] P.S. Stanimirović, *Block representation of  $\{2\}$ ,  $\{1,2\}$  inverses and the Drazin inverse*, Indian Journal Pure Appl. Math., **29** (1998), 1159–1176.
- [22] M.D. Petković and P.S. Stanimirović, *Symbolic computation of the Moore-Penrose inverse using partitioning method*, International Journal of Computer Mathematics, **82** (2005), 355–367.
- [23] N.P. Karampetakis and P. Tzekis, *On the computation of the generalized inverse of a polynomial matrix*, 6th Medit. Symposium on New Directions in Control and Automation, (1998), 1–6.
- [24] G.Wang, Y.Wei and S. Qiao, *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Computations*, Science Press, Beijing, 2004.
- [25] Y. Wei, *Successive matrix squaring algorithm for computing the Drazin inverse*, Appl. Math. Comput. **108** (2000) 67–75.
- [26] S. Wolfram, *The Mathematica Book, 4th ed.*, Wolfram Media/Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [27] G. Zielke, *Report on test matrices for generalized inverses*, Computing, **36** (1986) 105–162.